



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/760,087 | 01/14/2004 | Arup Bhattacharyya | MI22-2473 | 2443 |
| 21567 | 7590 | 05/24/2007 | | |
| WELLS ST. JOHN P.S. 601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201 | | | EXAMINER MONDT, JOHANNES P | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3663 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/24/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/760,087 | Applicant(s) BHATTACHARYYA, ARUP | |
| | Examiner Johannes P. Mondt | Art Unit 3663 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Johannes P. Mondt. (3)_____

(2) Paul S. Holdaway. (4)_____

Date of Interview: 15 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 71.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walker et al as cited.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 (5/18/07)
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argues against the rejection over Walker et al because Figure 10A pertains to a device "entirely different" from the device shown through Figures 12-14. Examiner will seriously consider any written response but draws attention to column 17, lines 27-44, in Walker et al showing very broad scope of their ninth "embodiment" and inter alia also to the applicability of the structure of Figures 12-14 also as memory array (column 14, lines 58-66) ~~///~~ ..